## Remote Roads and Trails Project Evaluation Criteria

	Scoring Criteria						
Standards	(5)	(3)	(0)	(-3)	(-5)		
1. Economic benefits following construction.	Supports economic benefit; endorsed as an economic development project by regional governmental agency or representative group.	Supports capacity or new access specifically built to support regional or local industrial, commercial or resource development	Supports minimal, speculative or temporary economic opportunities or benefits or provides non-crucial benefit to existing economic	N/A	N/A		
Weighting: 4			activity.				
2. Health and quality of life (Air and water quality, neighborhood continuity, access to basic necessities) Weighting: 5	This project provides a significant contribution to improved health or quality of life, or reduces or removes a significant existing negative factor.	This project provides a moderate contribution to improved health or quality of life, or reduces or removes an existing negative factor.	Project will have no affect either positive or negative on quality of life issues.	This project provides a moderate degradation to health or quality of life.	This project provides a significant degradation to health or quality of life.		
Examples: Access to ba	Examples: Access to basic sanitation = $5$ ; dust control = $4 - 5$ ; access to medical facility = $3$ .						
3. Safety. Weighting: 5	Addresses demonstrated safety problem of significance.	Addresses demonstrated safety problem of moderate nature or there is a record of public concern.	Less than 5% of project addresses safety.	N/A	N/A		
4. Improves	Greatly improves the	Moderately improves	Minimal or no affect on	Moderately decreases	Greatly decreases the		
intermodal transportation or lessens redundant facilities.	connectivity between modes and coordination and integration of passenger and freight systems and services and/or would clearly reduce the need for significant capital investment in another	the connectivity between modes and enhances coordination and integration of passenger and freight systems and/or would clearly reduce the need for moderate capital investment in another	transportation system connectivity, or coordination and integration of passenger and freight systems and services and does not change the requirement for investment in other modes.	the connectivity between modes or decreases coordination and integration of passenger and freight systems and/or would clearly require the need for moderate capital investment in another	connectivity between modes or decreases coordination and integration of passenger and freight systems and/or would clearly require the need for significant capital investment in another		
Weighting: 2	mode.	mode.		mode.	mode.		

## **Remote Roads and Trails Criteria (continued)**

	Scoring Criteria					
Standards	(5)	(3)	(0)	(-3)	(-5)	
5. Local, other agency	Contribution of state	Contribution of state	Contribution covers no	N/A	N/A	
or user contribution to	match, design, right-of-	match, design, right-of-	capital costs;			
fund capital costs.	way, and/or materials	way, and/or materials: 1	contributes nothing.			
	totals 25% or more of	point per each 5% of				
Weighting: 2	project cost.	project cost.				
6. Local, other agency	Sponsor will assume	Sponsor will assume	Sponsor contributes	N/A	N/A	
or user contribution to	ownership if currently a	full M&O	nothing.			
fund M&O costs.	DOT&PF facility; or	responsibility; or				
(For non-DOT or	sponsor will assume	sponsor will assume full	Continued sponsor			
DOT unsuited to long-	ownership of another	M&O of another	ownership & operation			
term ownership).	DOT&PF facility of	DOT&PF facility of	of locally-owned			
Commitment must be	similar M&O cost.	similar M&O cost.	facility = 1 pt.; And			
in writing before			results in significant			
points will be			local maintenance			
assigned.			savings =			
Weighting: 5			2 pts.			
7. Departmental	Very high M&O	Moderate M&O	Not an M&O priority.	Not an M&O priority;	Not and M&O priority;	
M&O priority (Use	priority.	priority.		would increase M&O	would increase M&O	
for DOT&PF				costs moderately.	costs significantly.	
facilities.)						
Weighting: 5						
8. Public support.	Preponderance of	Majority of public	Public record is divided	Majority of public	Preponderance of	
	public record including	record shows support	or undocumented	record shows	public record shows	
	a resolution from the	for project; and	toward project	opposition to project;	opposition to project	
	local elected body	nominally supported in		and not supported in	including a resolution	
	shows support for	official state/local		official state/local	from the local elected	
	project and fully	plans.		plans.	body and contravenes	
	supported in official				official state/local	
Weighting: 3	state/local plans.				plans.	
9. Environmental	Environmental approval	Environmental approval	Environmental approval	Environmental approval	Environmental approval	
approval readiness	likely with Categorical	likely with	likely with	extremely difficult	unlikely.	
	Exclusion or already	Environmental	Environmental Impact	50/50 chance.		
	complete.	Assessment or draft	Statement.			
Weighting: 2		documents circulated.				

## Remote Roads and Trails Criteria (continued)

	Scoring Criteria						
Standards	(5)	(3)	(0)	(-3)	(-5)		
10. Will project	New access to two or	New access to one $= 3$ ;	None of uses listed.	N/A	N/A		
provide new and/or	more uses $= 5$ .	Improved access to two					
improved access to		or more $= 2$ ;					
the noted uses: water		Improved access to one					
sources, landfills,		of listed uses $= 1$ .					
sewage lagoons/honey							
bucket sites, health							
care, airports,							
subsistence sites, or							
river/ocean access?							
Weighting: 5							
11. System	Major purpose of	Secondary purpose of	Preservation is not	N/A	N/A		
preservation.	project is to extend the	project is to extend life	significant purpose of				
	life of existing facility	of existing facility by	the project.				
Weighting: 3	by 10 or more years.	10 or more years.					
12. Is this a <b>joint</b>	Yes.	N/A	No.	N/A	N/A		
<b>project</b> with ADEC,							
BIA or PHS?							
Weighting: 4							
13. Other factors not	Project exhibits	Project exhibits	Project exhibits no	N/A	N/A		
specified.	significant innovation,	moderate innovation,	innovation, creativity or				
	creativity or unique	creativity or unique	unique benefits not				
	benefits not otherwise	benefits not otherwise	otherwise rated.				
Weighting: 2	rated.	rated.					

Total Weight = 47